



CHRISTOPHER M. HUBER
General Counsel for ORS

Office of Regulatory Staff
1401 Main Street
Suite 900
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 737-0800
ORS.SC.GOV

May 20, 2022

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire
Chief Clerk & Administrator
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: Joint Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC
for Approval of Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Program
and
Joint Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC
for Approval of Make Ready Credit Program
Docket Numbers: 2022-158-E and 2022-159-E

Dear Ms. Boyd:

The South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff (“ORS”); ChargePoint, Inc.; Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (“DEC”); and Duke Energy Progress, LLC (“DEP”) (collectively, “Duke” or the “Companies”) have discussed and reached agreement on the following proposed procedural schedule for the above-referenced proceedings to present to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (“Commission”) for consideration. Duke, ChargePoint, and ORS propose that the Commission initially schedule deadlines for parties to file comments in these dockets. The comment deadlines proposed are:

1. July 28, 2022: Initial comments from ORS and intervenors;
2. August 29, 2022: Comments by Duke or any other party in response to initial comments; and
3. September 28, 2022: Reply comments by any party to responsive comments.

The July 28th initial comments deadline proposed allows ORS and other parties time to conduct discovery before filing comments.

The Companies initially would publish notice of these proceedings through newspapers and their websites. The proposed notice would be submitted to the Commission for review and approval prior to publication. Notice to customers through mailing or bill inserts would not take place at this time, which saves administrative costs that ultimately may be passed onto customers.


Letter – Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire
May 20, 2022
Page 2 of 2

If the applications are unable to be resolved through the proposed comments process, it may be necessary to then set testimony deadlines and a hearing.

In closing, ORS, Duke, and ChargePoint appreciate the Commission allowing them time to engage in discussions to attempt to reach agreement on a schedule and respectfully request the Commission approve the procedural schedule described herein.

Thank you for your consideration regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Huber", written in a cursive style.

Christopher M. Huber

cc: All Parties of Record (via E-mail)
C. Jo Anne Wessinger Hill, Esquire (via E-mail)
David Butler, Esquire (via E-mail)
David Stark, Esquire (via E-mail)